

SR 520 Mediation - March 18 – MOHAI

Objective: Develop the most viable version of the A Alternative – this alternative includes the Montlake interchange and may or may not include F (second Montlake bridge) and G (Arboretum lid/tunnel); To work on the details of A, whether or not one is currently in support of A; to provide a level of detail sufficient for the next stages of the SDEIS and for the next stages of the mediation (developing impact and mitigation plans)

1:00 Welcome and Introductions

1:15 Report from WSDOT: How many trips on local streets do we need to affect through demand management, active traffic management, and pricing?

2:15 Interactive Workshop session on Alternative A, including the Montlake interchange

Participants will rotate among four tables (45 minutes each)

- North Capitol Hill/Roanoke
- Portage Bay
- Montlake
- Arboretum

These four are segments of the 520 alignment, not impact areas; each group should discuss impacts from different configurations of the segment under discussion, wherever the impacts may occur. Concepts will be shown for each segment and trade-offs between the concepts identified. Data will also be available on cost, traffic operations, environmental impacts and construction impacts.

Discussions will be led by Keystone facilitators. Participants will be asked to identify which concepts for each segment should be carried forward and why a particular trade-off is more acceptable than another. For example, what is the preferable bridge design for Portage Bay and why?

Comments from each group discussion will be tracked on flipcharts, maps, etc. so that each group can see the previous group's preferences.

6:00 Report back from tables, followed by discussion of next steps, including a review of the compatibility of preferred segments from each group. The mediation participants should seek to articulate a shared vision for Alternative A that reconciles the work of each group.

7:00 Adjourn

SR 520 Mediation - March 20 – MOHAI

Objective: Develop the most viable versions of K and K-light to take into SDEIS – the K option includes an interchange east of Montlake, a tunnel under the cut and a lid/tunnel at the Arboretum; To work on the details of K, whether or not one is currently in support of K; to provide a level of detail sufficient for the next stages of the SDEIS and for the next stages of the mediation (developing impact and mitigation plans); Options for the North Capitol Hill/Roanoke and Portage Bay discussed at March 18 session and demand management, pricing, and active traffic management strategies discussed at March 18 session can be applied to the K options.

1:00 Welcome and Introductions

1:15 Overview of Option K – how will it work? What trips are accommodated? What demand management, active traffic management, and pricing strategies could potentially be applied to this option? What can we carry forward from March 18 event?

1:45 Interactive Workshop on Option K, including interchange and tunnel options

Participants will rotate among four tables (45 minutes each)

- Montlake cut crossing options
- Arboretum options
- East interchange options
- North of the Montlake cut

These four are segments of the 520 alignment, not impact areas; each group should discuss impacts from different configurations, wherever the impacts may occur. Concepts will be shown for each segment and trade-offs between the concepts identified. Data will also be available on cost, traffic operations, environmental impacts, and construction.

Participants will be asked to identify which concepts for each segment should be carried forward and why a particular trade-off is more acceptable than another. For example, what is the preferable bridge design for Portage Bay and why?

Comments from each group discussion will be tracked on flipcharts, maps, etc. so that each group can see the previous group's preferences.

5:30 Report back from tables, followed by discussion of next steps, including a review of the compatibility of preferred segments from each group. The mediation participants should seek to articulate a shared vision for Alternatives K and K-light that reconciles the work of each group.

6:15 Discussion – what are the implications of the workshops' results for the mediation and the SDEIS; what are the next steps in analyzing the alternatives and developing impact plans; what are the next steps for the SDEIS; how do these fit together; mediation participants are encouraged not to leave the mediation until 7:00

7:00 Adjourn